Pro-Life?
You cannot be pro-vaccine.

Marcella Piper-Terry, M.S.
Vaccines manufactured with HUMAN CELL LINE and ALTERNATE CELL LINE products - USA

VACCINES ON CURRENT CDC SCHEDULE
- Chickenpox (Varivax [WI-38, MRC-5])
- Hepatitis A (Vaqta, Havrix [MRC-5])
- Hepatitis A & B (Twinrix [MRC-5])
- MMR (MMR-ii [RA273, WI-38])
- MMR+Chickenpox (ProQuad [RA273, MRC-5, WI-38])
- DTaP+IPV+HiB (Pentacel [MRC-5])
- Shingles (Zostavax [ WI-38, MRC-5]) (Adult Schedule)

Ingredients and components used in vaccine manufacture can be found at: TinyURL.com/ExcipientList

Source: Sound Choice Pharmaceutical Institute. Soundchoice.org
Vaccine Excipient & Media Summary
Excipients Included in U.S. Vaccines, by Vaccine

In addition to weakened or killed disease antigens (viruses or bacteria), vaccines contain very small amounts of other ingredients – excipients or media.

Some excipients are added to a vaccine for a specific purpose. These include:
- **Preservatives**, to prevent contamination. For example, thimerosal.
- **Adjuvants**, to help stimulate a stronger immune response. For example, aluminum salts.
- **Stabilizers**, to keep the vaccine potent during transportation and storage. For example, sugars or gelatin.

Others are residual trace amounts of materials that were used during the manufacturing process and removed. These include:
- **Cell culture materials**, used to grow the vaccine antigens. For example, egg protein, various culture media.
- **Inactivating ingredients**, used to kill viruses or inactivate toxins. For example, formaldehyde.
- **Antibiotics**, used to prevent contamination by bacteria. For example, neomycin.

The following table lists all components, other than antigens, shown in the manufacturers’ package insert (PI) for each vaccine. Each of these PIs, which can be found on the FDA’s website (see below) contains a description of that vaccine’s manufacturing process, including the amount and purpose of each substance. In most PIs, this information is found in Section 11: “Description.”

All information was extracted from manufacturers’ package inserts, current as of January 6, 2017.
If in doubt about whether a PI has been updated since then, check the FDA’s website at:
http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ucm093833.htm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Contains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>human-diploid fibroblast cell cultures (strain WI-38), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium, fetal bovine serum, sodium bicarbonate, monosodium glutamate, sucrose, D-mannose, D-fructose, dextrose, human serum albumin, potassium phosphate, plasdone C, anhydrous lactose, microcrystalline cellulose, polacrilo potassium, magnesium stearate, microcrystalline cellulose, magnesium stearate, cellulose acetate phthalate, alcohol, acetone,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are currently 14 different vaccines licensed in the United States which use fetal cells and tissues in their manufacturing process, and which contain human fetal DNA in the finished vaccine.

### CDC Vaccine Excipient List, page 3 of 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Excipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meningococcal (MenB – Bexsero)</td>
<td>aluminum hydroxide, <em>E. coli</em>, histidine, sucrose, deoxycholate, kanamycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningococcal (MenB – Trumenba)</td>
<td>defined fermentation growth media, polysorbate 80, histidine buffered saline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMR (MMR-II)</td>
<td>chick embryo cell culture, vitamins, amino acids, fetal bovine serum, sucrose, glutamate, recombinant human albumin, neomycin, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, sodium phosphate, sodium chloride WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts, MRC-5 cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRV (ProQuad) (Frozen)</td>
<td>chick embryo cell culture, vitamins, amino acids, fetal bovine serum, sucrose, glutamate, recombinant human albumin, neomycin, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, sodium phosphate, sodium chloride, human albumin, sodium bicarbonate, potassium phosphate, potassium chloride; potassium phosphate dibasic, neomycin, bovine calf serum, WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts MRC-5 cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRV (ProQuad) (Refrigerator Stable)</td>
<td>chick embryo cell culture, vitamins, amino acids, fetal bovine serum, sucrose, glutamate, recombinant human albumin, neomycin, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, urea, sodium chloride, sorbitol, monosodium L-glutamate, sodium phosphate dibasic, human albumin, sodium bicarbonate, potassium phosphate monobasic, potassium chloride; potassium phosphate dibasic, neomycin, bovine calf serum, WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts, MRC-5 cells.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TinyURL.com/ExcipientList
The MRC-5 cell line was developed in September 1966 from lung tissue taken from a 14 week fetus aborted for psychiatric reason from a 27 year old physically healthy woman. The cell morphology is fibroblast-like. The karyotype is 46,XY; normal diploid male. Cumulative population doublings to senescence is 42-48. G6PD isoenzyme is type B.
The WI-38 cell line was developed in July 1962 from lung tissue taken from a therapeutically aborted fetus of about 3 months gestational age. Cells released by trypsin digestion of the lung tissue were used for the primary culture. The cell morphology is fibroblast-like. The karyotype is 46,XX; normal diploid female. A maximum lifespan of 50 population doublings for this culture was obtained at the Repository. A thymidine labelling index of 86% was obtained after recovery. G6PD is isoenzyme type B. This culture of WI-38 is an expansion from passage 9 frozen cells obtained from the submitter.
This is the CDC’s Schedule for children from birth to two years of age. MMR and Varicella vaccines are given at 12-15 months of age. Children who receive Pentacel are being injected with cells, protein, and DNA from aborted babies at 2, 4, and 6 months of age.
Of the Top 5 Vaccine Products Worldwide, Pentacel ranks 4th, with projected sales at $1.68 Billion by 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Generic Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>WW Sales ($m)</th>
<th>CAGR 2014-20</th>
<th>WW Market Share 2014</th>
<th>WW Market Share 2020</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Prevnar 13</td>
<td>pneumococcal vaccine</td>
<td>Pfizer + Daewoong</td>
<td>4,297</td>
<td>5,833</td>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>Marketed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Gardasil</td>
<td>human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine</td>
<td>Merck + Sanofi Pasteur MSD</td>
<td>2,029</td>
<td>2,523</td>
<td>+4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>Marketed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Fluzone/Vaxigrip</td>
<td>influenza vaccine</td>
<td>Sanofi + Sanofi Pasteur MSD</td>
<td>1,724</td>
<td>2,026</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>Marketed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Pentacel</td>
<td>DTPa, Hib &amp; polio vaccine</td>
<td>Sanofi</td>
<td>1,533</td>
<td>1,683</td>
<td>+2%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>Marketed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Pediarix</td>
<td>DTP, hepatitis B &amp; polio vaccine</td>
<td>GlaxoSmithKline</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>+2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>Marketed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Sanofi Pasteur MSD is a European joint venture between Merck & Co and Sanofi. Sales for NVS do not reflect proposed disposal of NVS’s influenza vaccine business to CSL.

Total projected vaccine sales by 2020 = 34.7 BILLION dollars per year
How Were These Abortions Done?

Dr. Peter McCullough’s book, *The Fetus As Transplant Donor: The Scientific, Social, and Ethical Perspectives*, reports on the methods used in harvesting fetal tissue in Sweden:

“They would puncture the sac of a pregnant woman at 14 to 16 weeks, put a clamp on the head of the baby, pull the head down into the neck of the womb, drill a hole into the baby’s head and attach a suction machine to remove the brain cells... At 16 to 21 weeks, they would do prostaglandin abortions where a chemical is injected into the womb causing the woman to go into a mini-labor and pass the baby. Fifty percent of the time, the baby would be born alive, but that didn’t stop them. They would simply open up the abdomen of the baby with no anesthesia, and take out the liver and kidneys, etc.”

Make No Mistake.
The Abortions Were Done This Way To Ensure Intact Organs and Tissues For Research.

*Sweden is where the abortions used for cell lines in vaccines currently used in the U.S. took place.*
When we talk about the use of aborted fetal tissue in vaccines, one of the things that comes up is that the Catholic Church has approved this, because “the benefit of vaccines, using these aborted fetal tissues, outweighs...” the risk to our soul?? The benefit makes it worthwhile, is basically what they say.

I want to say something about the abortions before moving on. Paul Offit says there were only two abortions involved in the development of the vaccines using fetal tissue... and they happened in the 1960s. Dr. Offit says that’s all they are using. Technically, there were two abortions that were used in developing MRC-5 and WI-38. MRC-5 is from a male aborted baby. WI-38 is from a female aborted baby. So we’ve got both male and female DNA. Hold onto that thought. But here’s the thing... Before they developed those cell lines, they had to find babies that were infected, for example, with rubella. This was in the 1960s. There was a rubella outbreak. They wanted to develop a vaccine. So they scared pregnant women by telling them their babies had been exposed and they would be born with horrible birth defects. They convinced 27 women to abort their babies before they found one baby who was infected with rubella. That’s why the strain that was used in the development of the rubella vaccine is called RA273. R(rubella), A(abortus), 27(number of babies), 3(number of tissue samples taken). All together, there were more than 80 aborted babies involved in the development of the rubella vaccine. So when Paul Offit tells you there were only two? He’s lying. Because he’s a lying liar that lies.

Another issue here is that fetal cell lines are problematic because they are highly tumorigenic – meaning they cause cancer. And the older those fetal cell lines are... the more times they replicate, the more tumorigenic they become. That’s a real problem, since the cell lines currently being used in vaccines injected into our children were developed in the 1960s.

More info:  https://cogforlife.org/vaccines-abortions/
Walvax-2 is a fetal cell line developed in 2015 in China, for the purpose of replacing MRC-5 as the main fetal cell line to be used in vaccines. There were nine babies aborted during the development of Walvax-2.
For years, those who have been concerned about the abortions have been told that they were not conducted for the purpose of creating vaccines. Clearly, this is not the case with Walvax-2.

The babies were carefully screened, and were delivered via water bag induction, to ensure the desired organs were left intact. This is most likely why the abortions took place in China. Water-bag abortion is illegal in the United States.
THE ETHICS OF THE WALVAX-2 CELL STRAIN

Background:

The WI-38 and MRC-5 cell strains are currently used in the production of human viral vaccines (MMR, Chickenpox, Hepatitis-A, Shingles, some rabies, and some polio vaccines). But since these cell lines are approaching the end of their ability to self-replicate, a group of Chinese vaccine researchers, Bo Ma et al, have developed a new (human diploid) cell strain, Walvax-2. And

Here is where you can read about some of the ethical concerns regarding Walvax-2 and how it was developed:

TinyURL.com/walvax2ethics
The involved physicians performing the abortion should not deviate from the normal method of aborting the fetus (in the case of a three-month fetus, a D&C) just so they might provide "optimal fetal tissue" for the vaccine researchers. But this is what the doctors did in aborting the 3-month-old female fetus whose tissue eventually proved to produce the best diploid cell strain out of the batch of 9 aborted fetuses for the Walvax-2 cell substrate. They employed a special means of induction (the water bag method) so they or someone they delegated, could deliver to Bo Ma et al. intact cadavers with fresh organs which would facilitate, in turn, the ready harvest of the needed fetal fibroblast lung tissue from which they developed the human diploid cell strain conducive to the growth of the respective viruses (rabies, hepatitis-A and varicella [chicken-pox]).
You might think that because the Walvax-2 Fetal cell line was developed in China, we don’t have to worry about it in the United States. That would be an incorrect assumption.

In 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced that China is set to become the world leader in vaccine manufacture. Vaccines manufactured in China (and India) are being injected into American children.

The United States FDA inspects domestic drug manufacturing facilities on average once every 2 years. For international drug manufacturing facilities, FDA inspections average once every 11 years. For India and China, the frequency is once every 13 years. The governments of China and India do not allow surprise inspections by the U.S. FDA.

TinyURL.com/vaccinesChina
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To read about some of the safety concerns, go here: TinyURL.com/GAOchina
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World Health Organization’s Documentation of the partnership of China’s Walvax Biotechnology with GlaxoSmithKline for the production of MMR and “other paediatric vaccines” (using aborted human babies).

The abortions are ongoing.

This table lists the vaccines and partnerships for vaccines being developed and manufactured in China – where the U.S. FDA has Close to zero oversight capability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Company 1</th>
<th>Company 2</th>
<th>Vaccine(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Beijing Minhai Biotechnology</td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>Hep B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sanofi-Pasteur</td>
<td>Rabies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chinese National Institute of Health</td>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China National Biotech Group plus Sinopharm</td>
<td>Program for Appropriate Technology in Health</td>
<td>Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (2009), rotavirus, JE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shenzhen Kangtai Biological Products</td>
<td>Sanofi-Pasteur</td>
<td>JE, influenza (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shenzhen Sanofi Pasteur Biological Products</td>
<td>Sanofi-Pasteur</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shanghai Institute of Biological Products</td>
<td>Netherlands Vaccine Institute</td>
<td>NDA (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sinovac</td>
<td>Other members of the Influenza Vaccine Supply International Task Force</td>
<td>NDA (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walvax Biotechnology</td>
<td>GlaxoSmithKline</td>
<td>MMR, other paediatric vaccines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhejiang Tianyuan Biopharmaceutical</td>
<td>Novartis</td>
<td>NDA (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

China has partnered with Merck, Sanofi-Pasteur, GlaxoSmithKline, and Novartis. These pharmaceutical companies supply vaccines for use in the CDC’s vaccination schedule applied to American children and adolescents. Vaccines made in China include: Hepatitis A, Hepatitis B, HiB, HPV, influenza, meningococcal, pneumococcal, rotavirus, MMR, and varicella.

TinyURL.com/BRICSvax
MILD AILMENT

Dr. John Fry (Beckenham, Kent) writes: The expected biennial epidemic of measles appeared in this region in early December, 1958, just in time to put many youngsters to bed over Christmas. To date there have been close on 150 cases in the practice, and the numbers are now steadily decreasing. Like previous epidemics, the primary cases have been chiefly in the 5- and 6-year-olds, with secondary cases in their younger siblings. No special features have been noted in this relatively mild epidemic. It has been mild because complications have occurred in only four children. One little girl aged 2 suffered from a lobular pneumonia, and three others developed acute otitis media following their measles. In the majority of children the whole episode has been well and truly over in a week, from the prodromal phase to the disappearance of the rash, and many mothers have remarked “how much good the attack has done their children,” as they seem so much better after the measles.

A family doctor’s approach to the management of measles is essentially a personal and individual matter, based on the personal experiences of the doctor and the individual character and background of the child and the family. In this practice measles is considered as a relatively mild and inevitable childhood ailment that is best encountered any time from 3 to 7 years of age. Over the past 10 years there have been few serious complications at any age, and all children have made complete recoveries. As a result of this reasoning no special attempts have been made at prevention even in young infants in whom the disease has not been found to be especially serious.

“...well and truly over in a week, from the prodromal phase and the disappearance of the rash, and many mothers have remarked ‘how much good the attack has done their children,’ as they seem so much better after the measles.”

Let’s talk about “the benefit” of measles vaccination...

Measles is a mild ailment... This was a report on measles outbreaks in the U.K., from 1959, before we had the vaccine to inject fear into the measles.


“A mild ailment with few serious complications at any age, “and all children have made complete recoveries. As a result of this reasoning no special attempts have been made at prevention even in young infants in whom the disease has not been found to be especially serious.”
When we bring up the fact that in developed nations like the U.K. and the United States, the death rate from measles had decreased by 98-99% before the vaccine was ever introduced, we are often accused of being calloused and not caring about the babies in Africa, because “everyone knows measles is deadly in Africa, right?”

Well, let’s take a look at what measles was like in Africa, way back in 1979...
But MEASLES is DEADLY in Africa!!!

Zambezia (1979), VII (ii).

THE NATURAL HISTORY OF MEASLES

J. H. M. AXTON

Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of Rhodesia

You may wonder why I have taken such a mundane disease as measles for the subject of this lecture. Most people regard it as a mild illness, often no worse than flu. Parents may welcome it as something inevitable, while for many children it means nothing more than an enforced holiday.

It is a disease of which most of us have personal experience and therefore, I hope, is of interest. Many of us possibly retain vivid memories of our own attack, and the way in which it was treated. My main recollection is of the darkened room in which I was nursed, and later of my being forced to wear a cap with a green lining, specially bought for the occasion, to protect my eyes from sunshine.
Immunity from natural measles is probably lifelong, but lasts at least as long as 64 years. That’s important, because with the vaccine, there are two issues with vaccine failure. There is primary vaccine failure, which means the vaccine doesn’t impart any immunity in the first place, and then there’s secondary vaccine failure, which means the vaccine wears off – generally in 5-20 years. So, if we are vaccinating our children at 12-15 months and again at 4-6 years of age, and the vaccine wears off in 5-20 years, that means we are leaving adults more vulnerable to infection at a later age – including women, during their child-bearing years.

So what we have done, as a result of the vaccine, is... we’ve taken what WAS a mild, childhood illness, and we have shifted the age of vulnerability to an age when the consequences are more serious. And we have the vaccine to thank for that.
This study looked at two groups of adults. One group consisted of adults with history of natural measles infection in childhood. The other group were adults who either had no history or evidence of natural measles or who had evidence of measles (by blood test for specific IgG measles antibody), but no history of clinical measles.

The researchers were looking at the incidence of disease in adults, to see if there was a difference between the two groups.
In research, we are looking for statistically significant results.

“p” means probability. This is called “the p-value.” It’s a measure of the strength of your results.

When you’re talking about probability, .05 is what is generally accepted as being statistically significant.

That means that if you are looking at 100, p<.05, that means that there’s a 95 percent probability that what you’re seeing is real and not by chance.

A “p-value” of <.01 means there is a 99% probability what you’re seeing is real.

When you get to p<.001, that means there is a 1 in 1,000 probability that what you’re seeing is by chance. That’s really strong evidence.
Can Measles be GOOD for YOU???

SIGNIFICANT RESULTS:

• Immunoreactive Diseases (autoimmune) (p</.008)
• Sebaceous Skin Disease (eczema, psoriasis) (p<.001)
• Tumors other than skin & cervical cancer (p</.001)
• Degenerative diseases of bone & cartilage (p</.005)

• Total no. of adults with diagnoses (p<.001)
• Total no. of adults with non-measles associated diagnoses (p<.001)

What does this mean? Those who got measles naturally as children had much fewer incidences of chronic, debilitating diseases as adults. They were protected against autoimmune diseases, cancers, degenerative diseases of bone and cartilage, and serious skin diseases – all those things we now have epidemics of, and which were much less common prior to mass vaccination with MMR.

TinyURL.com/MeaslesLancet1985
Recap: What do we know so far?

• We know that many babies have been aborted and continue to be aborted for the sake of developing vaccines.
• We know the vaccine manufacturers are making billions of dollars from the sale of vaccines made from the babies who were killed.
• We know that measles was considered a mild, uneventful childhood illness in the decade prior to the licensure of the first measles vaccine – even in Africa.
• We know that getting measles as a child is protective in adulthood against cancer, autoimmune disease, sebaceous skin diseases, and degenerative diseases of skin and bone.

Let’s talk next about what injecting the DNA of aborted babies does to children receiving those vaccines.
Issues associated with residual cell-substrate DNA in viral vaccines

The presence of some residual cellular DNA derived from the production-cell substrate in viral vaccines is inevitable. Whether this DNA represents a safety...

Issues Associated with Residual Cell-Substrate DNA - FDA

www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/05/slides/5-4188S1_4draft.ppt
Nov 16, 2005 - Issues Associated With Residual Cell-Substrate DNA. Keith Peden. Division of Viral Products. Office of Vaccines Research and Review. CBER...

PPT - FDA

www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/05/slides/5-4188S1_4.ppt
1988: WHO established DNA limit for vaccines ... Viral vaccines and biological products contain ... Major Issues Associated with Residual Cell-Substrate DNA.

Cell Lines Derived from Human Tumors for Vaccine Manufacture - FDA

www.fda.gov/.../BloodVaccinesandOtherBiologics/VaccinesandRelatedBi...<br>Sep 19, 2012 - 2.1 History of Cell Substrates for Viral Vaccine Manufacture in the U.S.: Primary, ... scientific issues associated with the use of cell lines derived from .... cells was not tumorigenic, the level of residual cell-substrate DNA in the...
Fetal DNA in vaccines has been an issue of concern for the FDA since at least 2005.

This is the cover slide from the draft PowerPoint from 2005.
Here is where you can find the presentation.

This is the cover slide for the updated PowerPoint from 2008.
Overall Aim of Our Studies

To answer a 40 year-old question:
Can residual DNA from the production cell substrate pose a risk to vaccine recipients?

Entails generating quantitative data to be able to estimate the risk of this DNA in biologicals.

This presentation is from 2008. There had been concerns about residual DNA in vaccines for 40 years at that time. We are now nearing the 50 year mark.

Wouldn’t it have been nice if these questions had been addressed BEFORE injecting the entire population of the United States?
The WHO and FDA set limits for the amount of residual DNA from Cell Lines because of concerns about the DNA causing cancer.
Oncogenic means causes cancer.

Again... Wouldn’t it seem prudent to figure out these concerns before injecting fetal DNA into every child in the United States? For what? To prevent measles and chickenpox.

It’s not just cancer...
Human DNA in Childhood Vaccines is Associated with Autism Hot-Spots

From Sound Choice Pharmaceuticals and Dr. Theresa Deisher.

Researchers looked at MMR, Varicella, and Hepatitis A vaccines. They wanted to see how much fetal DNA is in the vaccines. The FDA limits fetal DNA in vaccines because it’s dangerous.

They found that the vaccines contain much higher amounts of fetal DNA than FDA allows, and the type (fragmented DNA) is much more easily inserted into the nucleus of the cell of the vaccine recipient.

They also found “hot spots” for recombinant DNA on several genes that are associated with autism.
The amount of residual fetal DNA in vaccines tested was 14-27 times the FDA limit for single-stranded DNA and greater than 3 times the limit for double-stranded DNA.
Fetal DNA Fragments Cause Insertional Mutagenesis – Associated with Childhood Cancers

This study furthered the investigation into the uptake of fragmented fetal DNA in vaccines.

They found that both damaged and healthy cells spontaneously incorporate fetal DNA fragments into the nucleus very quickly, and this alters the DNA via a process known as “insertional mutagenesis.”

Insertional mutagenesis is known to be associated with cancer – particularly childhood cancers such as leukemia and lymphoma.

TinyURL.com/Cog4LifeVaxCancer
Senator Patricia Miller voted for HB 1337, which makes it a level 5 felony to acquire, receive, sell, or transfer fetal cells in Indiana.

In 2016, Senator Patricia Miller introduced SB 162, which sought to mandate all adults in Indiana to receive MMR and Varicella vaccines, if they work in healthcare, or if their job takes them into a hospital or clinic setting.

Dear Senator Miller: Do you realize if SB 162 passed, you would be making all healthcare workers in Indiana FELONS?

Why would a legislator go against his or her pro-life stance on this issue?
Women In Government – Two of the Four Indiana State Directors

Indiana Senate Republicans
Patricia Miller | Indiana Senate Republicans
Chair of the Senate Public Health Committee

State of Indiana House of Representatives
Cindy Kirchhofer | State of Indiana House of Representatives
Chair of the Public Health Committee in the House
Screen Shots from Women In Government Website.

2015 Sponsors List

- Merck
- GlaxoSmithKline
- Novartis
- PhRMA

Etc...
In 2016, Patricia Miller had control over the Senate Public Health Committee. Cindy Kirchhofer maintains control over the House Public Health Committee. These two lady legislators have control of what bills get heard and what doesn’t get heard. If a Bill is introduced that is not favorable to their pharma sponsors, they have the power to prevent it from ever being heard.

In other words, Pharma owns the Indiana legislature. How is this even legal?
Dr. Gregory Poland is a vaccine scientist. He is the editor-in-chief of the Journal Vaccine. He founded the Vaccine Research Lab at the Mayo Clinic. He is a paid consultant for multiple vaccine manufacturers, including Merck, which makes the MMR and Varicella vaccines.

Dr. Poland was a guest speaker at the January 2016 Women In Government State Directors Conference, where he delivered this presentation on how to increase vaccine uptake among adults in the United States.
Another Option

If a private donor could be identifies who would provide each of you a $1,000,000 “grant” IF you developed legislation and policies that materially improved the health of your states and communities – could/would you do it?

Dr. Poland offered a $1 Million Bribe to State Directors of Women In Government, to introduce and pass legislation to mandate vaccines in their states.

We all need to be looking at the voting records of our legislators, and at the campaign contributions, as well as their association with Women In Government. Call them out for their hypocrisy, and expose them.

Register with NVICadvocacy.org to track legislation and fight for your rights in your state.